



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/016,969   | 12/14/2001  | Richard A. Pittner   | 0401-UTL-0          | 7314             |
| 28381  | 7590        | 06/22/2004           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| ARNOLD & PORTER LLP<br>ATTN: IP DOCKETING DEPT.<br>555 TWELFTH STREET, N.W.<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1206 |             |                      | LI, RUIXIANG        |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 1646                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                        |  |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> |  | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 10/016,969             |  | PITTNER ET AL.      |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        |  | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | Ruixiang Li            |  | 1646                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ruixiang Li.

(3) Mi K. Kim.

(2) Gary Kunz.

(4) Richard Pittner.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8 and 33-54.

Identification of prior art discussed: See Continuation Sheet.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Ruixiang Li  
Examiner's signature, if required

The Endocrine Society 75th Annual Meeting Program & Abstract, page 180, Abstract 520B, 1993; Yoshinaga et al., Am. J. Physiol. 263:G695-701, 1992; Ueno et al., Gastroenterology, 117:1427-1432, 1999.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The obviousness of the 103 (a) rejections set forth in the previous office action mailed on 03/03/2004 was discussed. Applicants submitted that it would have not been obvious to an artisan at the time the invention was made to use the PYY or a PYY agonist, e.g., PYY3-36, in the method of treating obesity and reducing food intake taught by Malaisse-Lagae et al. because PP and PYY have distinct pharmacologic properties. The Examiner maintained the rejections.